This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

U OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/730,577	12/07/2000	Yasusi Kanada	H-956	9644
24956 75	590 09/02/2004		EXAMINER	
MATTINGLY	, STANGER & MALU	BATES, KEVIN T		
1800 DIAGON	AL ROAD			
SUITE 370		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			-
,			DATE MAIL ED: 00/02/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/730,577	KANADA, YASUSI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kevin Bates	2155			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 June 2004</u> .					
,	,				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ty documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to a communication made on June 17, 2004.

Claims 1-6 are pending in this application.

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mohaban (6463470).

Regarding claim 1, Mohaban teaches a method of transmitting a policy rule, which describes a condition and an action (Column 16, lines 14-21), from a policy server to a network node that is connected to the policy server via a network (Column 11, lines 14-20), the method comprising the steps of: assigning a newly entered policy rule with an identifier (Column 19, lines 46-48; Column 20, lines 19-27); detecting a policy that depend on the newly entered policy rule or on the newly entered policy rule depends transmitting the newly entered policy rule with the assigned identifier to said network node (Column 24, lines 24-28), wherein, if the detected policy rule has not been transmitted to said network node, the identifier thereof and the

Art Unit: 2155

condition and the action described therein are transmitted with the newly entered policy rule to said network node (Column 28, lines 32 - 38); and if the <u>detected</u> policy rule has been transmitted with the newly entered policy rule to said network node, the identifier thereof is transmitted to said network node, but the condition and the action described therein are not transmitted (Column 28, lines 40 - 41).

Regarding claim 2, Mohaban discloses the policy rules that if the <u>detected</u> policy rule has not been transmitted to said network node, the identifier thereof, the condition and <u>the</u> action described therein, and a first instruction that specifies network interface information on said network node to put the <u>detected</u> policy rule into action are transmitted to said network node; <u>and</u> if the <u>detected</u> policy rule has been transmitted to said network node, the identifier thereof and a second instruction that specifies network interface information on said network node to put the <u>detected</u> policy rule into action are transmitted to said network node (Column 24, lines 37 – 61).

Regarding claim 3, Mohaban discloses the steps of: retaining the policy rules transmitted to said network node in storage of said policy server; and checking the transmitted policy rules retained in said storage to see whether the policy <u>rule</u> that <u>depends</u> on <u>the newly entered</u> policy rule or on any of which a new policy rule depends, detected by said detection step, has been transmitted to said network node (Column 6, lines 5-12).

Regarding claim 4, Mohaban discloses said step of detecting <u>a</u> policy rule that depends on <u>the newly entered</u> policy rule or on any of which a new policy rule depends detects a policy rule that references a variable defined in said newly entered policy rule

Art Unit: 2155

or a policy rule that defines a variable that is used as a condition in said newly entered policy rule (Column 21, lines 34 - 41).

Regarding claim 5, Mohaban discloses said network node is connected to a proxy server that converts the <u>rule</u> transmitted from said policy server into <u>a rule</u> in form that <u>the converted rule</u> can be executed on said network node and said network node receives the converted rules in this form (Column 12, lines 59 – 62).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mohaban in view of Mandal (6170009).

Regarding claim 6, Mohaban discloses a method of transmitting a plurality of policy rules, each of which describes a condition and an action (Column 16, lines 14 – 21), from a policy server to a network node that is connected to the policy server via a network (Column 11, lines 14 - 20), the method comprising the steps of: transmitting part of or all of the policy rules with their assigned identifier to said network node (Column 5, lines 55 - 67; Column 12, lines 59 - 62); seeing whether the condition described in a policy rule to be removed is exclusive with the conditions described in said plurality of policy rules (Column 22, lines 47 - 51), but he does not explicitly indicate that when removing at least one of the policy rules transmitted to said network

Art Unit: 2155

node; transmitting a request to remove a policy rule with its identifier that is specified by the operator to said network node, provided the condition of the policy rule is exclusive. Mandal teaches a system with a plurality of network policy rules (Column 3, lines 61 – 64), which includes the ability for the server to remove policy (Column 7, lines 11 – 26). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Mandal's teaching in Mohaban's policy system in order to be able to fully reconfigure the network node to be sure that the policy's that are suppose to be running on the device are while being able to take off policies that should not be running anymore all awhile keeping consistency between the policies on the device and the policies listed in the directory for that device in a high level fashion (Mandal, Column 1, line 64 – Column 2, line 3; Column 7, lines 21 - 26) and to be able to remove certain rules from certain devices when they are no longer applicable (Mohaban, Column 24, lines 29 – 53).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 17, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that the reference does not disclose the method of transmitting the policy rule as claimed. The examiner believes that as shown in the mapping of the limitations of the claims, the reference, Mohaban, discloses the transmitting process as claimed because Mohaban, decides whether the depended rules are present on the node or not, then transmits that depended rule based on the result as shown in the claims (Column 28, lines 32 – 41).

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2155

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Bates whose telephone number is (703) 605-0633. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on (703) 308-6662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/730,577

Art Unit: 2155

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KB August 30, 2004

> HOSAIN ALAM HOSAIN ALAM EXAMINER